

Village of Goodfield

BOARD MINUTES

Regular Board Meeting – June 27, 2024

President Hank Melton called the regular board meeting to order at 7:05p.m. Roll call showed the following board members present: Terry Nohl, Matt Ginder, Todd Perry and Roger Mullins. Terri Kuebler attended the meeting electronically. Also present: Attorney Mike Gifford, Engineer Duane Yockey, PWA Josh Nohl, Clerk Sheri Martin, Treasurer Emily Zobrist and 13 visitors.

Motion was made by Ginder, seconded by Melton to allow Trustee Terri Kuebler to participate in the meeting electronically. Kuebler had a medical reason she could not physically attend. Motion passed with the following roll call vote: Nohl – yes, Ginder – yes, Perry – yes, Mullins – yes and Melton – yes.

Pledge of Allegiance was said.

Citizens Request and Input -

Mike Gifford - At this time, we are going to entertain citizens who request an invite. The rules are that you have a maximum of five minutes in which to speak your piece.

Hank Melton - Before we do that I'm about to get myself in probably hot water here but how many of you are Village residents? Three people raised their hands. Is there anyone in the room that knows of any underhanded back alley illegal deals that the Village of Goodfield has engaged in in the last few weeks or months or years does anybody have anything about that because those kind of accusations when made on Facebook don't help matters at all. The people on this board and all of the committees have been accused of being head bobbers on the Facebook page and I want to give my feelings about that. I'm proud that they're head bobbers because they bob their head when they were asked to step in and do a thankless job. They bob their heads when they were asked to give up their family time and their free time to serve on this board to do what's best for the Village. They bob their heads when they were asked to step up and not step away from an issue and they bob their heads when they were asked to speak up and not be silent about things. So I'm proud to serve on this board with a bunch of head bobbers because I think you are the type of citizens that make this community worthwhile and I'm proud to serve with all of you. So if there is anyone from the audience that would like to speak, now's your time. One other thing I have to say is that regardless of whatever votes are made tonight, whatever motions are made tonight that these people before you were elected or appointed to do what's best for the Village of Goodfield and I have 100% faith that whatever decisions they make tonight will be in the best interest of the Village. Might not be what all of us are happy with if you have feelings one way or another, but I feel that they will make the decision that is best served for the Village. Alright. That's all. Next, I'm going to move, if nobody wishes to speak.

Mr. Tucker - Well, can you name the page, the Facebook page that you're referencing?

Hank Melton - Mr. Tucker, you know the Facebook page that I'm referring to. I don't need to do that.

Mr. Tucker -It sure ain't mine, so where do you get that?

Hank Melton -It's the Goodfield Goings On.

Mr. Tucker - Well, so what's wrong with that?

Hank Melton - What is wrong with accusing the Village of underhanded illegal and behind the scenes?

Mr. Tucker - I'm trying to read that all the time, but I haven't ever seen that.

Visitor - Was it any of us?

Hank Melton - No.

Mr. Tucker - Okay, thank you. So why don't you attack the people that you're...

Hank Melton - I didn't attack anybody, Mr. Tucker. All I did was speak out what I spoke out.

Mr., Tucker - Yeah, but it's not us. I've never seen that.

Hank Melton - Well, I made the comment so that everyone would know where I stand.

Mr. Tucker - Well, we don't want to hear it because we didn't do it. That's what I'm getting at.

Hank Melton - Well, why are you here if you don't want to hear it?

Mr. Tucker - I want to hear what's going on with the camp ground. That's it, I don't know anything about Facebook, but you didn't hear it from me. I know you didn't hear it from these people. We don't put that stuff on there. We don't.

Hank Melton - Mr. Tucker, you're the one that said that you were gonna hire an attorney that knows about the underhanded backdoor dealings that-

Mr. Tucker - I didn't frame it like that.

Hank Melton - Yes, you did. That's exactly how you framed it, sir. I read it. I don't want to get into a pissing match with you about what was said because I read it, all right? And I'm gonna defend the Village 100% because that's my job, all right? So, and we're gonna move on.

Hank Melton - Yes, ma 'am. State your name and where you live. Please state your name.

Sue Grusy - Sue Grusy. Yeah, of course. And we live on Grimm Road. And I love Goodfield and I love the board. So I'm kind of surprised they came into kind of a little tension here. I didn't come for anything except to be a voice that says, you know, I can only say my voice, but I talk to a lot of people. We, our family, is the one who we lost our Hunter a year ago. So we had a big couple hundred folks up for a walk for St. Jude a few weeks ago at the park and we just love Goodfield. I just would voice a concern that we, you know, we're on the far end of the houses. I feel more sorry for those who are right on the opposite side of the campgrounds. It's a whole lot of commotion and noise and music and it's all okay. But I'm super concerned that we would bring that all the way to within where it's residential. You know, you have homes out there and you're talking about bringing, as I understand it, the trailer, not the trailer park, the campgrounds, it would come all the way up to Grimm Road. Is that correct?

Hank Melton - No, that's not correct.

Sue Grusy - Okay, so the sign is kind of there, and so I thought that that's where it was. So I kind of came to learn. So that's all it. I just, my concern is a thought. That's just my thought is to be, to be thoughtful of the people of Goodfield who make this Village who it is. And I feel like we already have a big campground and then the trailer park. And so I don't know where it is exactly that they're planning to bring the extension. But that is, I'm just bringing a very kind voice of concern that you can bring a whole. And how many, how many extra spots are there for campers and tents that are projected?

Mike Gifford - Zero.

Sue - So what did I read? So what's the campground going to be extended to? I'm glad I came.

Mike Gifford - Hank, You want to respond to that? Or do you want me to respond to that?

Hank Melton - There's a part that already has campers on it. That is not zoned correctly. That's what the extension would be.

Sue Grusy - So it's just where then - because there are some more recent ones?

Hank Melton - Due to the changes in the annexation request it only pertains to the 12 or 13 trailers that are currently there and then the area west of that to Timberline Road. The area east of that over to Grim Road would be dedicated to the Village of Goodfield to use as a park or Green space whatever we chose is that correct?

Justin Stoller - That's correct. I will be happy to explain

Hank Melton - Okay, no we're gonna wait to that part of the agenda. We'll wait till we get to that part we've got regular Village business to take care of right away.

Norm Ulrich -I want to get my voice in about the campground. We are against that.

Hank Melton – I understand that sir. Yes, the place that's north of your property is the part that they're going to dedicate to the Village as green space. So that it wouldn't be part of the campground. It would be part of the Village.

Norm Ulrich - the 13 campers don't even belong there part there right now.

Hank Melton - Well. That's a decision that the board will make.

Chris Bucko – I just want to make sure I understand something here. What you just described is completely different than what was done at the Appeals Board meeting.

Hank Melton – Yes, it is.

Chris Bucko - So are we going to get a chance to speak on this once this gentleman makes his presentation or do we need to get our word in now?

Mike Gifford - The Board of Appeals and the Planning Commission met, I don't remember the exact date, I think it was June 3, and made a recommendation to the board, to this board, that the proposed annexation be rejected.

Chris Bucko – I was here for it sir.

Mike Gifford - Okay, so that's still on the agenda for tonight. Whether or not to proceed with the recommendation that it be rejected. Since that time, Mr. Steidinger and his attorney have made an amended proposal that is before the board, but one of the things that the board's going to have to decide when it gets to that spot on the agenda is whether or not to have another public hearing like the one at the bank a few weeks ago. Whether that's necessary or not.

Chris Bucko – This is some serious bait and switch folks. I sincerely hope you do not make a final decision on this tonight,

Sheri Martin - Can you tell me your name sir?

Chris Bucko: Chris Bucko, Grimm Road.

Mike Gifford - And for anybody that doesn't know this gentleman is Justin Stoller, he's Mr. Steidinger's attorney. Don't throw anything.

Hank Melton - All right, I'm going to bite my tongue right now.

Meeting Minutes

05-23-24 Regular Board Meeting

Motion made by Ginder, seconded by Mullins to approve the minutes. Motion passed with the following roll call vote: Nohl – yes, Ginder – yes, Perry – yes, Mullins – yes and Kuebler – yes.

05-20-24 Finance Committee Meeting

Motion made by Mullins, seconded by Nohl to approve the minutes. Motion passed with the following roll call vote: Nohl – yes, Ginder – yes, Perry – yes, Mullins – yes, Kuebler – yes and Melton - yes.

05-30-24 Public Hearing - Steidinger Annexation

These minutes will be approved by the Planning Commission and Board of Appeals.

06-03-24 Combined Planning Commission & Board of Appeals

These minutes will be approved by the Planning Commission and Board of Appeals.

Treasurer’s Report

Motion was made by Ginder, seconded by Melton to approve the Treasurer’s Report as amended to the warrants dated June 27, 2024. Motion passed with the following roll call vote: Nohl – yes, Ginder – yes, Perry – yes, Mullins – yes, Kuebler – yes and Melton – yes.

Warrants/Accounts Payable

Motion was made by Mullins, seconded by Nohl to approve the payment of bills as listed on warrants dated:

06-12-24 \$ 57,785.973

Motion passed with the following roll call vote: Nohl – yes, Ginder – yes, Perry – yes, Mullins – yes, Kuebler – yes and Melton - yes.

Transfers

Motion was made by Ginder, seconded by Nohl to approve the following transfers:

- \$20,536.97 from O&M Checking to General Fund Checking
- \$80,000.00 from General Fund Money Market to General Fund Checking
- \$ 0.00 from General Fund Money Market to Building Fund Money Market
- \$30,000.00 from O&M Checking to O&M Money Market
- \$ 0.00 from O&M Checking to Pledged Revenue (Bond Pmt)
- \$ 0.00 from TIF Fund to General Fund Checking
- \$ 0.00 from Bond Repayment Fund to General Fund Checking
- \$ 0.00 from O&M Checking to O&M Depreciation
- \$ 0.00 from General Fund Checking to Police Fund
- \$ 0.00 from General Fund Checking to Equipment Fund

Motion passed with the following roll call vote: Nohl – yes, Ginder – yes, Perry – yes, Mullins – yes, Kuebler – yes and Melton - yes.

Payment of outstanding invoices upon request of Village Engineer

There were no outstanding invoices.

PUBLIC WORKS ADMINISTRATOR/VILLAGE ENGINEER REPORT

Hoerr tested the water main. Once pressure test is done they will do a Bac-T test. Sanitary testing still needs to be done, it will be a couple weeks before they do this.

Sludge at STP – lay down sites are complete. 630 tons material have been hauled. Geo tubes have been ordered and were shipped on 7-2-24. Some site work prep still needed. They will probably start sludge dredging end of July or beginning of August.

Source water plan for EPA – Brad is working on this. The Village has to have a stakeholders meeting. Brad will draft and then have a Water & Sewer Committee Meeting to approve. This will have to be approved at July 18, 2024 Regular Board Meeting. Must be submitted by July 26, 2024.

Pickleball court is not started yet. Hoping to start this project after dredging is done.

There is a contractor doing crack sealing for IDOT that may park trailers and equipment in back for a short period of time.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT/DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

Nothing discussed.

SEWER TREATMENT PLANT/COLLECTION SYSTEM

Nothing discussed.

SANITARY SEWER COLLECTION SYSTEM ISSUES

Nothing discussed.

ATTORNEY REPORT

Attorney items are all covered under agenda items.

VILLAGE PRESIDENT'S REPORT

Village President spoke at the beginning of the meeting.

OLD BUSINESS

Ingress/Egress Easement for Sanitary Sewer Trunk Main

Nothing new discussed.

Discussion on proposed revisions to Village Code

Last month we discussed setting a Code Review Committee Meeting. PWA Nohl was too busy with the dredging project. Josh will get information together to set a committee meeting.

Comprehensive Plan

Motion was made by Nohl, seconded by Mullins to approve the Comprehensive Plan, as amended. Motion passed with the following roll call vote: Nohl – yes, Ginder – yes, Perry – yes, Mullins – yes, Kuebler – yes and Melton – yes.

Discussion and Possible Action Regarding Development of a Goodfield Police Dept.

Bill Lally said he went into the police department and looked at where they had taped out the initial design. He looked at where the wall was going to be for the evidence room and looked up, and it's right underneath a light. So if we moved the wall closer to the window, it would be awkward because you have about that much space between the window and the wall. If we moved it closer the opposite direction, you'd have no room for the evidence room. So he reconfigured it. He showed the Board on the overhead projector what the room would look like. This would actually make the evidence vault a little larger so we could get three shelves in there instead of two. It would create a much larger space for interviewing and also have some space for storage. Bill asked Russ what the difference in cost would be. Russ talked to the people who gave us an estimate in the first place and it would add \$2,500 to the estimate so instead of \$12,800 it would be \$15,3000.

The exterior we were going to go 50/50. That cost has been taken out of the start-up budget because the Board said to move it to the General Fund. The exterior cost for the Village is approximately \$7,000. Attorney Gifford said Lewis, Yockey & Brown drew the plan for the exteriors, did an informal projection of the cost, and I think that's what everybody's relying on. Duane agreed. The Village will need an actual quote on that, not an estimate on what that's going to be. PWA Nohl said as of right now, with the figures and the additional, we're still under our appropriated figure. If there is unforeseen things that come up during the build, we might want to adjust the budget and appropriations numbers, because we're going to re-vote on the budget tonight. The budget was approved last month, but PWA and Treasurer made some amendments to it, so it will be re-voted on tonight.

Russ actually got a hold of Bill and talked about changing the build -out as if we need to change the language in the lease. Russ said no, because he said as far as I'm considering that the lease is separate from the build -out. Attorney Gifford said that is wrong, the way the lease was set up is, I haven't looked at it in three weeks, but there's two exhibits to the lease. One is the build -out for the interior and the other is the build -out for the exterior, the ADA stuff, and it was supposed to include exhibits that showed what that cost was and the allocation. I didn't bring the lease with me tonight because I didn't know we were talking about it. PWA Nohl recommend because we're going to pass the budget and the appropriations tonight that we go ahead and amend the figure and add a percentage in there so that way we can go ahead and pass it. Then if it comes in at that price, we'll be fine. Even if we raise the appropriated figure, we don't have to spend it, but if it's not in there, then we have to do something else. Bill said before we talk about the camera option and the tasers and things like that, I want you to take a look at where we are. When we took the build out interior and exterior off of the startup, we dropped it down to an estimated \$62 ,000, which is still under. Treasurer said there is \$87,000 currently put aside in the Police Fund that we've been setting aside for the year. The board approved the \$62,000. Bill said he should be able to pick up the Dodge Charger in the next week or so. We are still under the budgeted amount.

Chief Lally discussed cameras and tasers with the Board. Originally when everything was put together, it was just for the in -car and for the taser, because departments our size are not required by the state yet to have body cameras. However, as of January 2025, it will be required. We could wait until we get closer to that timeline to get those cameras. The officers actually need to be wearing them as of January 1st, 2025.

Bill put together several options to look at and choose from. Right now, most police departments are using Axon. The Department of Children and Family Services just sent out a letter that said they're switching to Axon. Why this is important is because Axon has a very specific software package that allows agencies to share videos. Also, the sheriff's office uses Axon. So even in the interview rooms, if I have to interview or any other officers from Goodfield, they'll go interview somebody at the sheriff's office and they record it.

They're only able to share that video with us if we were to have the Axon software. But we can videotape the interview on our body camera and then use the video from that body camera for the video needed for the clip.

1. Axon bundle: 2 body cameras (model fours),

1 docking station which can hold up to eight body cameras.

5 year contract – at 2.5 year they replace the body cameras with new ones. And then at the end of the 5 year contract, they replace them again with new ones.

Five -year warranty, unlimited storage, one professional license, five basic licenses. So if we were to end up, you know, hiring up to five part-time officers in five years, then those are covered.

Also, the video camera for the squad car is what's called the fleet video camera. It's got cameras that go both front, rear, and cabin. Axon has triggers on them, which means that as soon as you open up one of these doors to go into the back, the camera comes on. So if you put a custody in, if you're taking a custody out, the camera automatically comes on and turns on your body cam. If you hit your lights in your squad, it'll automatically turn on your body cam as well as all these lights. So there are automatic triggers to make sure that the officer doesn't forget to turn it on. The types of cameras that they are they automatically are recording every 30 seconds they dump and start over so that means as soon as you hit record it goes back 30 seconds saves that and then adds it on so you don't miss it. Cost for a five -year contract with all of this would be \$11,131/year and it would be \$55,000 for a total of five years. At the end of those five years you own the equipment and they cycle it out, so that means you own the new equipment for the body cameras and your in -car camera. Tasers are cycled out after five years too. The state of Illinois has a camera grant, so Bill can get a grant to cover the first year.

Someone asked if officers had to be trained by a certified trainer. The taser does, but we actually have a certified trainer that we can train from. And that's included in the bundle, by the way. We can decline it, but included in that bundle is them sending somebody down to train them.

2. Halo: Halo is very similar to axon for body cams.

3 year warranty

If the officer pulls either the taser or the gun, the body cam automatically comes on. You don't have to have that though. So without the halo, it's basically \$2,520 a year, but we have to pay the three years of the fund. It's about \$8,000 or so. With the halo where it goes up to \$2,760.

3. ProVision : We can actually just buy the camera for \$3,000

5 year warranty

It has automatic transfer to the cloud for storage, and your monitor is actually on your laptop computer. Storage is free for software or for your videos. But it does not integrate with your body cameras because they're different vendors. I've got hardware, \$500, but honestly, for this 2016 model, I can get it for free. South Pekin has just upgraded to Axon, so they said, if you're interested in the camera, we'll probably just give it to you, but more of them have to be free, right? The warranty will run out on this camera October of this year. It's manual transfer, so I have to take a USB drive to manually transfer the videos down and then walk it over to the computer, plug it in, and download that. And then if we're going to share the video with any other agencies, we would need to do it on USB or CD.

This camera doesn't have any triggers either, so if the door opens or whatever, there's no triggers on these. Tasers, so instead of going with the Axon Taser 10, I can get new Taser 7s, which are similar in nature, except instead of having 10 shots, you have 2 shots. These are basically \$1,700 a Taser.

Below is a table showing the choices for cameras and tasers and the costs.

Brand	Body Camera	In-Car Camera	Taser	Number	Cost
Axon	Axon 4	Fleet 3	Taser 10	2 Body Cams 1 In-car 2 Tasers	\$11,132-yr (\$55,660 total)
Motorola	V700	M500		2 Body Cams 1 In-car	\$25,860 total
Halo	Halo VA1			2 Body Cams	\$8,280 total (Covers 3 yrs)
ProVision-New		Ranger		1 In-Car	\$3,000 total
ProVision-Used		PV-16		1 In-Car	\$500 total
Axon-New			Taser 7cq	2 Tasers	\$1,700 Ea (\$3,400 total)
Axon-Used			Taser X26p	2 Tasers	\$700 Ea (\$1,400 total)

COMPARISON

- OPTION 1**
Axon Fleet = \$55,660 (5-yrs)
- OPTION 2**
Motorola Cameras = \$25,860 (5-yrs) + 2 Tasers = \$29,260
- OPTION 3**
Equipment-(New ProVision/Tasers) = \$14,680 (3-yrs)
- OPTION 4**
Equipment-Used(ProVision/Tasers)/New(BC) = \$10,180 (3-yrs)

14

The grant would not cover the taser part of it. There is time to think about this decision not to rush, we would just need to change the budget appropriations, and we just need to make sure that the appropriation is correct. All of this will be discussed at a Police Committee Meeting and a recommendation will be made to the Board.

Timberline Road Improvements & Right of Way

Engineer Yockey said we're in the process of working on right -of -way plans for Dr. Merheb and across the street. The rest of Timberline road and so forth seem to be part of what's being proposed tonight for a right -of -way dedication as part of the annexation. We're in the process of working on right -of -way plans for Dr. Merheb and across the street. But the rest of Timberline road and so forth seem to be part of what's being proposed tonight for a right -of -way dedication as part of the annexation.

Annexation of land North of Campground – Steidinger

Justin Stoller with Stoller Law Office, El Paso was at the meeting representing Darwin Steidinger. Justin said all of you should have gotten your materials for the meeting to revise the annexation meeting that was held several weeks ago. It really isn't complicated, the revisions. As you recall, the original proposal was that RIV and the RII take the existing campsites basically make them RIV recreational and have the additional farmland be RII multifamily. That was decided back about a year ago and then things changed with the sale and everything. So right before the meeting, I was informed very late that it wasn't necessary to have to have RII, the Ag would be fine. So that's why we weren't able to change it before the public meeting. And then based on additional feedback at that meeting, Darwin then agreed to dedicate to the Village what we have listed as Tract 8, on the revised plat. As park green space, whatever you want to call it, then basically that would be land that would be dedicated to the Village and would then become part of the Village and to do with what the Village wanted to do with it. Presumably it would be a green space or park space, but no further development would occur on that space. And then the existing, I believe it's 13 campers sites would then be annexed into the Village. It made sense, we felt, to actually extend that all the way to the west instead of having a little corner of, it's really a yard just kind of for materials and that's what we expect it will continue to be because the new owner's going to need that for materials. So we just extended it all the way over to the west where it touches Timberline Road then. And so that part would be annexed as RIV recreational. And really at that point, that's all Mr. Steidinger really wanted to do. He would be content with just that and being done and leaving the rest of the farm in the County, but he understands that the Village has an interest in having the roadway dedicated and having zoning control over the rest of it. So he is willing and in this plat to dedicate or to annex the rest of that instead of leaving in the

county but annex it to the Village as ag – ag only the way it is now basically and so and that's proposed in the annexation to be that for 20 years unless it's agreed differently by the Village and the owner and so by doing that then also annexing that in then the roadway can be dedicated to the Village all the way around it. The Village will have complete control over future zoning for that area. Then we also amended the dedication for the current road through the Timberline park to be the utility easement on the east and south sides and the entire roadway as well there's a confusion on that, but he's going to dedicate the entire road way plus the easement for future utilities.

Mike Gifford - You're talking about Timberline where it makes like the s turn,

Justin Stoller - where it makes the curve back to the west, then after you go south okay did through the existing part of the park. So as far as the public hearing I guess I would just like to offer that this is far more restrictive in every way we believe for development than it was at the original proposal which we feel like was properly noticed and given notice to all the public and every all the neighbors around it so we believe everyone did have a chance to offer their concerns and input on that to the extent that you know Villagers and neighbors feel like things have changed enough then they want to offer further comment on that this evening at this meeting I certainly would have no objection to that. The property is under contract for sale and there is a contingency at this point for the annexation. If the annexation doesn't proceed I honestly don't know how that will affect the sale. It's kind of up to the buyer to see how they would want to handle that and ultimately what would happen I don't know what this would be. We feel like this preserves the status quo basically it corrects the zoning issue which has been going on for a couple years and we've been trying to work on for the past year. And it does offer the Village the necessary dedications for all the roadways all the way around and to help control the zoning and for the future expansion. So we believe that the L4 measurement on the Tract 8 is 336 feet and then 389 feet on Tract 8 on the south side of it. So basically close to 400 feet then we're staying away from Grimm Road.

Hank Melton: Just so everybody's clear on this, what the proposal is, is to bring this all the way over to Timberline, correct?

Justin Stoller: Yes.

Hank Melton - And I don't know where those 13 trailers are in there but it's further away.

Justin Stoller - About 400 feet from that, from the end of the east side of the road right about here. So this space here next to Mr. Ulrich's home because this is your home right here. That's going to be annexed to the Village as green space, park, recreation.

Mike Gifford – It would be Village property just like any other park.

Hank Melton - So that nothing else can go there. It would just be for that. So that would take care of Mr. Ulrich.

Norm Ulrich - Alright, no campers will be there.

Hank Melton – No, it would just be green space and you know, it basically can be a park, it can be anything that we want it to be. But it won't be a campground. Not as long as... I'm doing this job it won't be. So then...

Visitor - what's the distance there? From the road to where on that track?

Hank Melton - I think it was 400 some feet does that sound like that's where your property line is along there

Norm Ulrich - yeah

Hank Melton - but it won't go, there would be no more campers added.

Justin Stoller - No they definitely won't have any more campers right there than existing ones that are in place now.

Hank Melton – So, does everybody understand what their new proposal is? Yes, Terry...

Terri Kuebler - Who's going to maintain that green space the Village or the park owner?

Hank Melton – No, the Village will, because it'll be our village property, and we'll maintain it as green space, so we'll keep it mowed and everything.

Terri Kuebler - Okay, I just wanted to clarify that.

Hank Melton - That's on record with the recording. Does that satisfy your concerns Mr. Ulrich?

Norm Ulrich - Yeah that area I'm really concerned about that. What's the rest of the 37 something acres?

Hank Melton - Ag like it is right now. I'm taking it is that we would put this in as ag and then if he wanted to do anything with it He's going to have to try to go through the Village to get it done again and that's not going to happen, so it's going to stay ag for as long as for 20 years at least, I'll be in the ground. Okay does everybody understand those changes? Yes, ma'am...

Visitor = Is the 18 acres going to be township or county?

Hank Melton - It would become Village. Because then we can have this right of way all the way around back over here.

Duane Yockey - and we can control what's on the other side of the road.

Hank Melton – Yeah, The road comes down and cuts back this way somewhere around here is where the culvert deal is right? And this will also give us this right of way right here so that we can We still got two other people to get right of way from but that will take care of that so that we can improve Timberline Road and make it safer than it is right now. They'll widen that road out there where the thing is.

Norm Ulrich - I just have one more question. There will never be more campers. I wish those 14 campers were gone. They shouldn't even be over there.

Hank Melton - We understand that.

Norm Ulrich - There will not be any campers in this ag area.

Hank Melton - No sir, it belongs to the Village and it's a park.

Mike Gifford - It doesn't belong to the Village. I think if you were talking about two different areas.

Hank Melton - It's dedicated to. This area right here is what he's talking about.

Norm Ulrich - No campers there.

Hank Melton - No campers will ever be there.

Mike Gifford - Not the big piece up top, that's ag.

Hank Melton - No, that's ag. This section right here. I'll give you my word that there will never be any more campers there.

Norm Ulrich - There will be any campers or something in the ag area either, right?

Hank Melton - No, the Village isn't going to allow that.

Hank Melton - Oh it won't happen while I'm here if I can help it. I don't know how long I'll be doing this. I might get fired tonight. Yes ma 'am.

Visitor - Well my question is if that's the green area is essentially a park that Goodfield will maintain what is the likelihood, would you say that that park becomes park for campers?

Hank Melton - No, never. Okay. It won't become campers or trailer park or anything else. It'll just stay green space. Okay. So everybody understands what the... Yes sir, go ahead.

Chris Bucko - I understand what you're saying, but you still got 13 campers that shouldn't be there to begin with. Mr. Steidinger has made a year's worth of income that he had no right to.

Hank Melton - I understand that, sir. But we're trying to move forward into the future. We can't... What he did was wrong. And I'll just... I'm just gonna hit the elephant in the room here, all right? We get this campground sold to somebody else. We're done with Mr. Darwin, all right?

Visitor - Yeah, we're done with that, yeah.

Hank Melton - And then I think that will make everybody's life a little easier. And hopefully the new people would be someone that's gonna be much more professional to work with, all right?

John Tucker - I'm all for that, if we can get rid of Darwin.

Hank Melton - Well, that's what's gonna happen, sir.

John Tucker - Okay, but on the other hand, why do you have to get permission from him to fix Timberline Road when the dam roads safe and put some barricades up and the road is closed, now he can't get his campers in there.

Hank Melton - That's... And he can take the campers around the other way.

John Tucker - Sure he can until the weight limits are on the road.

Hank Melton - Yeah. But the point is to move forward, make Timberline Road safer, more accessible for all you people that live out there so you can get to 117 easier, and not have to go through all this legal mumbo jumbo that we do to do it, you know. Now, there's no doubt that what Mr. Darwin did was totally wrong. And he got caught in it, and we busted him for it. But the fact is that those are there, and this is a solution that lets the Village have what it wants, it gets rid of Mr. Darwin, and it protects Mr. Ulrich's property.

John Tucker - I hope you can put that in the writing.

Hank Melton - Well, I can't put it in there that we're going to get rid of him. I think that might be illegal right, Mike?

Mike Gifford - Something like that.

John Tucker - Well, you know what he told me after the last meeting? He was so mad because he thought he was going to have to move those 13 trailers. He's going to put a hog farm there.

Hank Melton - No, he's not.

John Tucker - I know he's not. Yeah. That's what he said.

Hank Melton - That's in the a mile and a half, that's not going to happen. So I just want to be clear. I don't know how the Village board is going to vote on this. But I just want it clear on what the new proposal is, so that all of you guys are clear and understand what is going on, all right? Because even though the majority of you are not Village residents, we want to be good neighbors to all of y 'all, and I know that you want us to be, too, all right?

Norm Ulrich – Only thing I want to say is I hope that is going to stay Agriculture forever.

Hank Melton - It's going to be for 20 years, correct?

Mike Gifford - That's what the Annexation states, it will be 20 years. Right now it's corn. Justin do you have time pressure?

Justin Stoller – No.

Hank Melton - All right, can we feel free to move on?

Mike Gifford - The board needs to decide if it wants to proceed with the amended proposal or if it wants to kick it back for some sort of public hearing by planning and zoning, similar to the one that was at the bank back on May 30th or whatever it was. If the board decided that the amendments that were made to the plan addressed the great weight of the comments and complaints that were made at that public hearing then you could proceed tonight, if that's your determination. But if you want to you know put it out there for public hearing and let people come in and express their opinion again. I would just encourage you to tell them not to repeat what they said last time.

Mike Gifford - You like that?

Hank Melton – Well, I think that was that was a law your answer.

Mike Gifford - Well thank you sir.

Hank Melton – Well we've got Shari, would you like to say anything I know you want to say something you're leaning forward.

Shari Stuber - I have to respectfully disagree with Mr. Stoller about his interpretation of public hearing notifications because I do believe that there is a revised petition submitted that it does need to go to public notice so there can be another meeting held. I know Montgomery Township was not made aware of the revisions to the petition and as I'm sure the fire department and other avenues and more residents that aren't here tonight that are within the Village.

Mike Gifford - You're sort of preempting my hand. If I was asked for my recommendation I would agree with you.

Shari Stuber - I listened very carefully to what he said. I disagree respectfully but I listened to what you said and we all want him gone but we don't know what's coming with the new owners so...

Mike Gifford - Nor will you.

Shari Stuber - No absolutely not but that's why you know something in writing, which if we have 20 year guarantee that it stays in ag,

Hank Melton - That's part of that that's part of the annex agreement - 20 years it stays ag.

Justin Stoller - Darwin's going to continue to own it but it's under the annexation of the Village so they get to control the zoning, just like those in the county the county controls the zoning.

Mike Gifford - You know one of your complaints, I'm sorry Justin, didn't mean to interrupt you.

Justin Stoller - He's either going to keep it or a new owner is going to keep it, is that right? It's the most restrictive zoning that can be owned.

Shari Stuber - Not really.

Justin Stoller - What's the most restrictive zoning?

Shari Stuber - Or we wouldn't have 13 campers on an ag zone.

Justin Stoller - That has to do with the county.

Shari Stuber - But he's in the county that owns that ag land also.

Hank Melton - No, that's going to be Village.

Shari Stuber - No, I'm saying that the county has the most regulations for ag land. We're saying that they've been fighting with him for years.

Justin Stoller - We asked you a question about the notification of the district. With the roadways and everything, none of that has changed.

Mike Gifford - The school district gets what they want they showed up and asked not to have their enrollment burden so if it's ag, it won't. It essentially preserved this you know one of the comments that you made at the public hearing was that the county had told you that what Steidinger did was inappropriate but it was also obvious they weren't doing a darn thing about it. So what you're doing if this proceeds is it's giving the Village the ability. Substituting the Village for the county to enforce that requirement so that there's not another encroachment into ag land because that's what happened when he put those 13 in.

Shari Stuber - And that's where we're torn because the county threw it back at you at the Village and threw it back at the county all because of that.

Mike Gifford - There is no throwing. No. There's no throwing.

Shari Stuber - I know but that's what we're up against and not with you and listening to your proposal. I find it much more appealing than what Darwin had originally suggested.

Hank Melton - Yes Mr. Tucker go ahead.

John Tucker - What's the chances, Chris? Chris if I step on your toes here tell me. How about if Darwin took those 13 trailers he offered to move them 50 feet the last time I talked to him, moved that inland, onto that campground, and planted some buffers in there like we used to have, some trees. I'd be 100% accepted with all of this. If he'd just moved those trailers in 50 feet where they shouldn't have been to begin with, and then planted some trees for a buffer for us.

Justin Stoller - Across the road?

John Tucker - No, straight in he got the movement straight side. Like he said he was going to let the land be. He'd have to move it 50 feet to make them legal. I'd be happy towards the road. South. Towards the south. Into the campground. Not into the field. Move into the campground.

Mike Gifford - I think they're talking about an interior road. We're talking about the road in the campground.

The interior road. Oh yeah, the interior road, yeah. I'd be 100% with you guys. on that. He's already, he put him out there illegally. He's been making money for a year and a half off of him. Take some of that money and move him 50 feet.

Justin Stoller - I'm not making any excuses for that. His mistake was that he didn't know that he had to get approval. I just don't know how that's going to affect this thing because we're under a timeline. If we have to start all over and basically have the same basic hearing that we had before. I don't know what can happen with the sale. And it's up to the buyer. I mean, however they want to deal with it, maybe they would say, you know, we're not going to mess with it. We're not going to complete the contracts. I don't know.

Mike Gifford - That's why I was asking about your time pressure.

Justin Stoller – We have a due diligence period that's close to being up. So if the annexation is not complete, then that'll have to be addressed with some kind of renegotiations. And if those don't go well, then we're back to where we need to practice law.

Mike Gifford - Statutorily, there is a large window of notice that's required. I can't quote it off the top of my head, but I think it's not less than 14 days and not more than 28 or something like that. 30. That is required for publishing notice of a public hearing similar to the one that was held at the bank. So if, I'm just saying if it's determined to go to another public hearing, it is at a minimum going to be three weeks from now. And that is, you know, determined by Sheri's ability to get all those people there. And I know for her, it was like herding cats last time to get everybody to say, yeah, I can be there at that particular time. Scheduling that kind of stuff is difficult, but at a minimum, if everybody was cooperative and available, it would be at least three weeks before that public hearing could be. So look at it this way. Do you mind if I say something?

Hank Melton - Not at all.

Mike Gifford - You guys want to risk not getting rid of Steidinger? I mean, it's that simple.

Josh Nohl - You guys have all lived out by the campground for a years. The campground's been out there for 30 years, years and years ago. So prior to Darwin owning the campground, did you guys have all these problems?

Visitor - No.

Josh Nohl - So why would you want to try to keep him from selling the place and have the potential for it to go back to...

John Tucker - We don't want to have it shoved down our throat the way he's doing it. I understand what you guys are doing. I'm all for it. If you could get him to move. I just don't like the fact that he's saying I'm gonna do it whether you like it or not.

Hank Melton - That's already happened Mr. Tucker, you know I don't I don't like it either it leaves a nasty taste in my mouth.

John Tucker – Does that make it right?

Hank Melton - No, it doesn't make it right that's what I'm saying, but we have a way To take care of the issue right now. Yes ma'am.

Teresa Herstein – I just have a question, with the new owner do they have a campground in Secor, right?

Hank Melton - I don't know who the owner who the sale is to. Justin is this the same company that owns the one in Secor?

Justin Stoller – Yes,

Teresa Herstein - Okay About the new owners coming in. So far I've heard that they've been pretty much hands -off and they haven't even come down like to get the pool open And to get the water tested and stuff. like the owners are all hands -off so it's relied upon the person who's at the office Who's struggling to get the campground up and running just because the Chicago LLC is not really done what they're supposed to be doing. They bought the campground, they want the revenue, but they don't want to put the time into it at least thus far So I guess

Terry Nohl - You think Darwin's better?

Teresa Herstein - I'm probably closest to them and they and the campers keep building into the yard like they're tearing trees down we can see like I'm probably one of the worst closest to the campground but I'm just saying so if the new owners come in if you guys agree upon this and the new owners come in and we say this is gonna be better are we gonna be up well and when I say when we I meant as the Village are we gonna be able to enforce and make him do things that are actually correct and follow the laws and not have all of this that we've had for years and years and years?

Terry Nohl – We can enforce the OV's.

Hank Melton - We can enforce the ordinance violations. That'll be that gentleman's job. Ordinance violations will fall under the police department so then we'll have some

Justin Stoller - If this is approved this sale you're dealing with the new owner with about three percent more trailers If those trailers have to go away, then you're dealing with the current owner and three percent less So I mean the grand scheme of things there's three hundred and some trailers. I believe and this is like 13 so that's what we're talking about here really.

Teresa Herstein - Which is very minimal then you're talking just a couple of campers that you need to put in

Justin Stoller - So if the annexation doesn't happen and he's not able to include that you know, he sells the park anyway, or it doesn't sell You know, they say he just decides I'm just going to keep.

Teresa Herstein - He's already told so many people I'm fed up. I'm done with this place. I'm out of here either way He's gonna sell it one way or another He might stick around for a while, but he's already voiced to many people that he has fed up with this just because he's gotten so much harassment

Mike Gifford - Respectfully just because he's fed up with it and wants to sell it doesn't mean that he's gonna find another buyer if this buyer Walks unless you want to write a check yourself. There's no guarantee that there's another buyer out there Yes, sir.

Nick Agnew – So just on the fire department side, for the protection district with the annexation not being RII if it's gonna stay ag, what does that do with hydrants water mains things like that? Out through that area compared to if it had been RIV, I mean RII

Duane Yockey – We don't need them.

Nick Agnew - Okay.

Mike Gifford - And the Village would have right of way. The Village would get the right of way and easement out on the top piece of Timberline Road that it doesn't have now.

Nick Agnew - I just have to ask the questions for the fire department.

Duane Yockey - We've got future water main extensions to increase pressure and flow and fire flow, but right now you can't do any of that because Mr. Steidinger owns out to Timberline Road on both sides.

Nick Agnew - Right, I just didn't know if there was a plan.

Josh Nohl - So we've got a safety improvement, we've got a crumbling box culvert that is a safety issue. We desperately need to do a road improvement there. We have water main that we need to do and we've got no easement, we've got no right-of-way. And so what the Village gains out of this annexation is right-of-way dedication, easement, we can do that work. If the annexation falls through Then we can either go to Mr. Steidinger and ask him out of the goodness of his heart to dedicate the stuff to us, which won't work. I'm not sure if that's going to happen. We can pay for it, or we can do eminent domain and pay a lot for it. So those are our options. So we're trying to get some middle ground here to be able to do the projects that we need to get done. So that's part of our incentive in this. And that's part of our consideration on this annexation.

Bill Lally - So one of the things that I can do is reach out to the new owners, set the meeting, whether it's via Zoom, in person, where have you, and relate to them our concerns, explain to them that I'd like to remain in contact with some sort of representative, have a contact number. I'm not talking about an email, and I'm not talking about some 1-800 number. I would like a direct contact with somebody that I can call on the phone and say, we're having these issues. And I'd like to get these resolved, or else we're going to have to start looking at all ordinance violations and other things of that nature. So C-Corps doesn't have its own voice. We can have a direct representation from the Village to the owners. And again, communicate our concerns consistently about what's going on. And try to work with the owners to make sure that it not only works within, it's able to be good neighbors, but also by doing so, it makes it more profitable for them to come. Because if you've got police constantly out there with their lights on and writing tickets, that's not good.

Mike Gifford - We had suggested earlier at the earlier meeting that Hank do essentially the same thing so I think if you and Hank went together perfect. So I think your first question is do you want to put it out for another public hearing out of an abundance of caution, I don't want to do anything to slow this down more than it needs to be but I hate to hand somebody a lawsuit too.

Terry Nohl - So who pays for the next public hearing? Is it the people in Goodfield?

Mike Gifford - I'm sorry I'm not sure what you mean by pays.

Terry Nohl - Are public hearings are free?

Hank Melton - No.

Terry Nohl -Who pays for the public hearings?

Hank Melton - It's the Village that pays for the hearing.

Mike Gifford - Yeah, the Village will pay for the hearing, but I'm not sure what cost we're looking at, so I'm just confused.

Terry Nohl - Number one, your time's not free.

Mike Gifford - I'll stay away. Gladly.

Terry Nohl - The recorder's time's not free, is it?

Mike Gifford - We didn't charge you anything for transcription. The first time around when we were talking about the Dietrich Land and the apartment complex, we had a court reporter. We didn't have one last time, and my firm had the transcription that's in your materials, and there was no charge for that. No charge. You're right, you paid for my time, you paid for whatever stipend there was for the trustees, for the two boards. I don't know if the bank charged you anything.

Sheri Martin - No, they did not.

Mike Gifford - But you're right, it's an expense, but I suppose I would say that that expense is less than the expense of defending a lawsuit.

Terry Nohl - There's no guarantees either way, right?

Correct. Correct.

Terry Nohl - But you're thinking if we vote on this tonight, we're going to be in a lawsuit?

Mike Gifford - I'm saying that there's more of a risk of one of these people or one of their friends who are at last the public hearing deciding that they want to try to take a shot at stopping this because it should have gone back out for public hearing with the amended proposal.

Terry Nohl - And then the deal falls through and they're stuck with Darwin.

Mike Gifford - True.

Terry Nohl - Whatever.

Mike Gifford - Those are all a bunch of dominoes that you know, you click on, you're not sure where all of them are going. Nobody's going to get the guarantee that you know anybody who buys it from Darwin is going to be kind and wise and good. The only question is are they going to be better?

Terry Nohl - There are two guarantees in life. You know what they are.

Mike Gifford - Yeah.

Terry Nohl - I'd like to move forward with it. I think it's in the best interest to the neighbors out there. I think it's in the best interest safety-wise to the people that are traveling that road.

Hank Melton - It's definitely in the best interest for the fire department because it's scary with the trucks going over that.

Mike Gifford - the changes that were made basically gave the people who were unhappy at the public hearing almost everything they asked for.

Terry Nohl - That's what I'm looking at. I don't understand why we'd have to start over.

Mike Gifford - I just can't give you advice that says do this and you're going to get sued. You've had history with that one.

Hank Melton - I just refuse to, as much as it may feel like Darwin is pushing this down our throat, I don't want to run the Village thinking that we're going to get sued every time we turn around. Because that leaves a bad taste in my mouth too. We can't. We have to make decisions that are best for the Village and the community. I refuse to be part of something that's going to say that every time you do something you're going to get sued about it. I don't want to run a Village that way. I think that we should go ahead and vote on this and get Darwin down the road. Get him out of town.

Mike Gifford - Duane are you satisfied with the amendments to the plat?

Duane Yockey - Yes, I reviewed them, I sent changes and they made them.

Mike Gifford - I've looked at the annexation agreement and that kind of stuff. The changes that were made basically responded to what the public wanted.

John Tucker - I don't know if you're going to vote this in tonight, which is fine, I mean that's your priority. And then he gets up and says, guess what folks, I'm not moving.

Mike Gifford - What?

John Tucker - What are you going to do if you give him everything he wants and then he says, I think I'm going to stay here. How are you going to protect yourself against that? He could do that very easily.

Hank Melton - Yeah but I don't think he's going to.

Mike Gifford - He obviously wants to sell the property. He has an attorney involved. He has a contract that is in the process of being fulfilled to sell the property. So he obviously wants to sell the property. I guess you could imagine maybe he's just not going to sell the property to do this to you.

John Tucker - He's the guy that got drunk and come across his cornfield come up on my front porch threatening me. I got a video of him doing it. County cops run him off and threatened to put him in jail for coming on my property. That's the kind of guy he is.

Josh Nohl - If we don't do this, and it costs us a couple hundred thousand dollars to get the right away to do the work we need to do, are you going to help pay for it? He's not going to pay for it, he's not going to pay for it. She is. You are. Everybody else that lives in town is.

John Tucker - Hey, I want to help pay for the police department. I don't even live in the city.

Terry Nohl - Body cameras or the car?

John Tucker - I don't care. Just want a cop.

Hank Melton - Bottom line, we have to make a decision that's going to be best for the Village. So, I'm going to go ahead and put forward a motion that we, go ahead. I'm not going to make the motion. I'm going to entertain a motion to move on with this matter tonight.

Justin Stoller - Mike, do we determine if there's a timeline to this?

Mike Gifford - I'm not sure. I misunderstood your question. I thought you were talking about this evening. No, my question is, you're in your due diligence period. You made a comment about it starting to run out. Do you have a closing date that you're going to lose if the board doesn't move tonight?

Justin Stoller - We're still in the due diligence period.

Mike Gifford - So, do you have a closing date that you're going to lose?

Justin Stoller - We haven't set yet, no.

Mike Gifford - Okay. Does that answer your question? Assuming it goes well, then there would be one fairly soon. Okay.

Justin Stoller - But if we had to have a public hearing, then we couldn't make the contract date. I'm sorry, if we had a public hearing. We'll be back to the drawing board on that issue. Does your buyer indicate whether they would extend?

Justin Stoller - We haven't had any of those discussions yet.

Hank Melton - I need a motion.

Terry Nohl – Motion so moved, I'll make that motion to move forward with the annexation agreement as it's been revised.

Roger Mullins – Second motion.

Sheri Martin – Nohl – yes, Gender – yes, Perry – yes, Mullins – yes and Kuebler – no (only because I don't want to let Steidinger win because he's already done something illegal, but I understand the benefits of the town, but I think it's been underhanded all along.) and Melton - no.

Mike Gifford – Motion carried. All right. Did you bring a executed annexation agreement?

Justin Stoller - No.

Mike Gifford - Okay. So there's going to need to be a couple of days for Justin and I to work out getting his client's signature on the annexation agreement. And then Hank and Sheri will have to sign it for the Village. And if you'll allow me a comment, I'd like to thank Justin for being here. Hope the board would join me in that.

Hank Melton - Yes.

Mike Gifford – He's been sitting in a hot seat. It may not have been so comfortable.

Hank Melton - Thank you, sir.
Anyone have anything they'd like to comment on?

Shari Stuber - Thank you.

Norm Ulrich - I want to thank you for standing up here and telling me that it's going to be Agriculture.

Hank Melton – I'm a poor man. My word is all I got, sir. So that's okay. I want to stay that way. All right. Thank you all. Thank you guys for coming. Mr. Tucker, Are we good?
John Tucker - Not quite because you accused me of something I didn't do.

Hank Melton - I could show you. We don't have to do this right now.

John Tucker - Oh, yeah, we do. because you're accusing me of something I didn't do.

Hank Melton - Your words were...

John Tucker - I said that right here, right here at the meeting. I said it. I said, we're are the only ones without an attorney. Maybe it's time we need more.

Hank Melton - No, there's more to it than that. But it's okay. If you say you didn't say it then I'll say I was wrong.

John Tucker - You were wrong. Because my wife done some research and she found exactly who said... You talking about the bobble head?

John Tucker - No, I know about that one. Well, yeah, I don't deny the rest of it.

Hank Melton - Okay.

John Tucker – Are you talking about Ted Clark?

Hank Melton - No. If you say you didn't say it I'll take your word for it.

John Tucker - I'll tell you what I said. But I said the same exact thing in this meeting. Alright. Yeah, we were the only ones without an attorney. Maybe it was time we as a group of homeowners get one. All right, let's move on. It's in the minutes.

Hank Melton - Okay. Thank you, Mr. Tucker.

John Tucker - You're still wrong.

Hank Melton - Okay, won't be the first time. Ask my wife.

West Robinson Street Improvement

Resolution for \$125,000 for West Robinson was approved by IDOT. They are reviewing our preliminary plans. The project will not happen this summer, it may happen in the Spring. At a later date we will need to make a decision to put it out for bids. Project has to be done by the end of 2025. PWA Nohl suggest to do it in the summer when school is out.

West Robinson Street / S Birkey Street Right of Way

Nothing new.

Facebook Page – Bulletin Style – Information Only

Police/Ethics Committee will meet on July 11, 2024 to discuss possible Facebook – Information only page. Trustee Perry will get agenda information to Clerk.

ADA Compliance for perspective Police Department

This was discussed with the lease.

Donation for New Playground Equipment at Park

Park Advisory Committee will be meeting in the near future to discuss the donation and how to improve the park.

Appointments

Hank Melton advised the Board he would like to appoint Mike Carr as Park Advisory Committee Chairman. Approved by all in a voice vote.

Police Department Lease

Attorney Gifford has not heard from Mr. Wiegand. Mr. Wiegand reached out to Chief Lally and said he has signed the agreement. As soon as exhibits are complete the Board can approve the lease.

Budget & Appropriations Ordinance – 24-02

PWA Nohl and Treasurer Zobrist reworded some of the line items in the budget/appropriations ordinance, so Treasurer re-presented it. Motion made by Ginder, seconded by Melton to approve the Appropriations Ordinance. Motion passed with the following roll call vote: Nohl – yes, Ginder – yes, Perry – yes, Mullins – yes, Kuebler – yes and Melton. Josh said General Fund 0100428.3 - facility build out & ADA compliance change appropriations number from \$24,750 to \$30,000. Then change 0121477 from \$12,750 to \$14, 700. This changes the total Police to \$2,519,992.

NEW BUSINESS

Creation of Citizens Park Advisory Committee

Attorney Gifford prepared an ordinance that removed Park Committee from Sec. 3.109 because the park committee is not a Board committee. It is a citizens park advisory committee. He recreated the Advisory Park Committee in Sec. 3.114.

Motion made by Melton, seconded by Ginder to approve Ordinance 24-01, An ordinance of the Village of Goodfield, deleting Board Park Advisory Committee and Establishing a Citizen's Park Advisory Board. Motion passed with the following roll call vote: Nohl – yes, Ginder – yes, Perry – yes, Mullins – yes, Kuebler – yes and Melton - yes.

Resignation of Planning Chairman Mike Carr

Planning Commission Chairman Mike Carr turned in his resignation effective July 1, 2024.

Ordinance Change amending Sec. 3.109

Discussed under Creation of Citizen's Park Advisory Committee.

Letter from Grimm Road resident – Campground

With input from Attorney Gifford the Board determined the Village does not have authority to order a phase one environmental study on private property.

Discuss Possible Police/Fire Station & Possible Training Facility

Nick Agnew let the Board know that if the Village would be interested in a single Public Safety Facility in the future the Fire Dept. would be very interested in doing that. Nick also asked if the Fire Dept. buys pre made shipping container for training facility – would the Village allow it.

Park Use Request by Fire Department

Fire Department did not do a fund raiser in June. They would like to use the park for a car show they would sponsor. Cars would be parked on the grass. Fire Department would have food for sale under the shelter. Fire Dept. would direct traffic, they would provide insurance or Village could ask IML. Attorney Gifford will contact IML.

Schedule Committee Meetings

Police/Ethics Committee July 11, 2024.

Municipal Calendar

Completed.

Other Business

Engineer – None.

Public Works Administrator – None.

Trustees

Nohl – A gentleman came to Terry wanting to put up a veterans memorial. It would be 4 flags for each service and a plaque. Goodfield veterans names would be on it. Can Village collect money for this project? How can it be financed? This will be put on July Regular Board meeting agenda.

Ginder – None.

Perry – None.

Mullins – None.

Kuebler – None.

Clerk – None.

Treasurer – None.

Next Board Meeting July18, 2024.

Adjournment

Motion for adjournment was made by Ginder, seconded by Perry. Meeting adjourned at 9:47p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sheri Martin
Village Clerk

Approved by the Village Board on _____.